

ಶ್ರೀ ಎ. ಜಿ. ದೊಡ್ಡಮೇಟಿ.—ಎಂದಿನಿಂದ ಐದು ವರ್ಷಗಳು ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಯಾವಾಗ ಕಲ್ಲೆರ್ದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಕೊಡುತ್ತಾರೋ ಅದರಂತೆ ನಮ್ಮ ಕೆಲಸ ಯಾವತ್ತಿನಿಂದ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೋ ಅವೇತ್ತಿನಿಂದ.

Community Development Block for Manvi Taluk.

*Q.-432. Smt. BASAVARAJESWARI (Manvi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they are aware that Manvi taluk is an Ayacat area under the Tungabhadra Project;

(b) if so, whether the Government propose to sanction "Community Development Block" to this area in the month of April 1959?

A.—Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY (Deputy Minister for Planning and Development).—

(a) Yes.

(b) According to the rephrasing of the Blocks, as indicated by the Ministry of Community Development, the entire State is expected to be covered by the Community Development Programme by 1963, and the Taluka of Manvi would also be covered by this Programme in the coming years. But it cannot be definitely said at this stage when exactly this Taluk will be covered as the question of allotment of Blocks is dependent upon various factors, namely,—

1. Backwardness of an area as compared to the other uncovered areas;
2. Contiguity of the Blocks to be started to those already existing;
3. Administrative convenience;
4. Food Production Potential;
5. The number of Blocks allotable to a district, the number of Blocks already allotted and the percentage of population of a District already covered by the existing Blocks as compared with the percentage of covered population of other Districts;

6. The need for extending the Scheme to villages covered by Gramdan;

7. The need for extending the Scheme to areas around the scientific, veterinary, agricultural and training institutions with a view to applying the results of scientific achievements to the practical field work and also establishing closer contacts between scientific and training institutions and the field staff.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಬಸವರಾಜೇಶ್ವರಿ.—ಈ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿಗೆ ಸಾಮುದಾಯಿಕ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ ಯೋಜನೆ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಈ ವರ್ಷ ಏಕೆ ಸಾಧ್ಯವಾಗಲಿಲ್ಲ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ಲಂಗಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಈಗ ಮಾಡಿರತಕ್ಕ ಚಿನ್ತೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅನೇಕ ಸಂಗತಿಗಳು ಇನ್ನೂ ಪರಿಶೀಲನೆ ಯಾಗಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ, ಯಾವ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಆ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಒದಗಿಸಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆಂಬುದನ್ನು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಬಸವರಾಜೇಶ್ವರಿ.—ಈ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಆಯುಕ್ತರು ಪ್ರದೇಶವಾಗಿದೆಯೆಂದು ತಿಳಿದಿದ್ದರೂ, ಏನು ಕಾರಣದಿಂದ ಆ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಇಷ್ಟು ತಡವಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ಲಂಗಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಯಾವ ಕಾರಣ ಗಳಿಂದ ಇಷ್ಟು ತಡವಾಗುತ್ತಿದೆ ಎಂಬುದು ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ತಕ್ಕ ವಿವರಗಳು ನನ್ನಲ್ಲಿಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಬಸವರಾಜೇಶ್ವರಿ.—ಮುಂದಿನ ವರ್ಷ ವಾದರೂ ಈ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿಗೆ ಆ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ಒದಗಿಸುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿ. ಲಂಗಾರೆಡ್ಡಿ.—ಮುಂದಿನ ವರ್ಷ ಯೋಜನೆ ಮಾಡೋಣ.

Taking over of Aldur-Ummathur Road by the Public Works Department.

*Q.—458. Sri U. M. MADAPPA (Chamarajanagar).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the reasons for not taking over the District Board road from Aldur-Navillur-Hallikere-Hundi to Ummathur, Chamarajanagar taluk, which connects two P.W.D. roads, by the P.W. Department;

(b) whether it is a fact that a memorandum was given to the Chief Minister by the villagers of Ummathur on the occasion of the electric light switching on ceremony at Ummathur, Chamarajanagar taluk, that this road be taken over by the P.W. Department;

(c) the action taken by Government in this matter ?

4.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(a) The road could not be taken over owing to the limitation on the mileage of roads to be taken over.

(b) Yes.

(c) *Vide* reply to clause (a) above.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—May I know whether the Government is aware of the fact that it is very essential that this road should be taken over by the department?

†Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—It has impressed the Government. It fulfils one of the criteria that it should be taken as a State fund road.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—Are the Government aware of the fact that it is very essential?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—There are more important roads in that area which deserve better consideration.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—Is the Minister for Public Works aware of the fact that the hobli is detached from the headquarters?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—It is a matter of opinion.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—There are several representations from the people that this area should be included in T.-Narsipur because of that reason.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—This is not recommended by the Chief Engineer; nor is it included in the D.L.B. list.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—May I know whether the department has bestowed its thought after the submission of this memorandum by the people, or to refer to the departmental people to get opinion?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The Memorandum says that this work is done under the N.E.S. and they want it to be taken up by the P.W.D. Government will consider this question when we take up the next list of roads for the P.W.D. management and at that time this will be considered on its own merit.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—Not completely. That is what I said. Aldur-Nallikere Road belongs to District Board. Ummathur belongs to Revenue Department.

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—If part of the road belongs to District Board, that is much less a ground for being taken up as a Public Works Department Road.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—Is it not a fact that the Government Order clearly indicates that if it is a road constructed by the District Board and also out of the District Board's funds, it should be taken over?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—Roads other than the District Board Roads have to be taken up by the Public Works Department, if there are special considerations and those considerations do not prevail in Mysore District.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಜಯ್ಯ.—ಬಿ.ನರಸೀಪುರ, ಮೈಸೂರು ಮತ್ತು ನಂಜನಗೂಡು-ಉಮ್ಮತ್ತೂರು, ಈ ಎರಡು ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದಂಥ ಪಿ.ಡಬ್ಲ್ಯು.ಡಿ. ರೋಡುಗಳನ್ನು ಕನೇಕ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡುವ ರಸ್ತೆಗಳಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಎಂ. ಚನ್ನಬಸಪ್ಪ.—ಕನೇಕ್ಟ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತವೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಜಯ್ಯ.—ಬೇರೆ ಕೆರೆ ದಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ರಿಲೀಫ್ ಮತ್ತು ಎಕ್.ಇ.ಎಸ್. ರಸ್ತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪಿ.ಡಬ್ಲ್ಯು.ಡಿ. ಯವರು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದಾರೆ. ಅದೇ ರೀತಿ ಇದೂ ಮುಖ್ಯವಾದ ರಸ್ತೆ ಎಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರ ಪರಿಗಣಿಸಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—I have already stated that there are some special extraneous considerations and compelling reasons for taking roads which are not District Board Roads. As the Hon'ble Member puts it, part of this road is District Board Road. If it satisfies the condition, when we consider the next list of roads to be taken over by the Public Works Department, this road will be considered on its own merit.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ರಾಜಯ್ಯ.—ರೋಡನ್ನು ಮೇಂಟೇನ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇರೆ ದುಡ್ಡನ್ನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ಒದಗಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The Government is very seriously considering the question of providing an agency for maintenance of roads constructed through N.E.S. and other agencies.

Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—Is it not a fact that out of 435 miles, you have taken up only 45 miles of road in Chamarajanagar Taluk?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—The Government Order speaks for itself.

Sri U. M. MADAPPA.—It is a dangerous question he is putting.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ರಾಮಪ್ಪ.—ರಸ್ತೆ ಉದ್ದ ಕೇಳಿದಿರಿ. Is it the total length of the road required or the total length of the road in the District ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—We have not distributed these roads on the basis of taluks. That I have already made clear to the Hon'ble Members of this House.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—With reference to answer (a) will the Hon'ble Minister be pleased to say what is it that has made the Government to exclude these roads though they satisfy all the conditions imposed in the Order ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—It does not satisfy all the conditions. It satisfies only one condition that it is a highway.

Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA.—Is it not one of the considerations for selecting other roads ?

Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA.—It is one of the considerations.

QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

(but not taken up)

Amount provided for developmental works for Harijans in First and Second Plan period.

*Q.—93. Sri T. HANUMIAH (Challakere).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the amount provided in the First and Second Five-Year Plans for education, industry and development works with respect to Harijans ;

(b) the amount proposed to be provided for in the Third Five-Year Plan for the same purpose ?

A.—Sri N. RACHIAH (Minister for Social Welfare, Excise and Prohibition).—

(a) The amount provided in First Five-Year Plan for all welfare schemes was Rs. 115.90 lakhs. Schemewise details are not available.

The amount provided in the Second Five-Year Plan in respect of the schemes is as below :—

	Rs. in lakhs
Education	... 25.64
Industries	... 10.75

Other Development Works

Housing	... 151.49
D.W. Wells	... 13.78
Community Centres with Radio sets.	5.38

(b) This will have to be done when the size of the plan is finally worked out.

Honge trees in Bandaralahalli, Chintamani Taluk, Kolar District.

*Q.—286. Sri J. NARAYANAPPA (Mulbagal).—

Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(a) the extent of Government lands bearing S. Nos. 22, 10 and 33 situated in Bandaralahalli, Chintamani taluk, Kolar district ;

(b) the number of Honge trees in those survey numbers in the year 1950 together with the income derived annually therefrom ;

(c) the number of such trees in those lands as at present and the income now being derived therefrom ;

(d) whether it is a fact that the ryots of adjacent village Jadarahalli have recently submitted a petition requesting them to grant to the ryots the right to collect honge leaves for manure purposes ;

(e) if so, the amount they have agreed to pay to the Government every year ?

A.—Sri Kadidal MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue).—

(a) S. No. 22—86 acres 21 guntas.
S. No. 33—15 acres 7 guntas.
S. No. 10—49 acres 30 guntas.

(b) There are no honge trees in S. Nos. 33 and 10. According to village accounts there were 200 honge trees with an income of Rs. 5 during 1950-51 (at Rs. 2.50 nP. per 100 trees).